ACETABULAR COMPLEX REVISION WITH CEMENTED DUAL MOBILITY SOCKET 10 years of follow up





CHU de St Etienne Pr F FARIZON, G SAYAG



INTRODUCTION

INTRODUCTION

• THA Excellent clinical results (Learmonth ID, Lancet 2007)

- Number of revision THA (rTHA) increase (Kurtz S, JBJS Am 2007) :
- By 2030, the demand for **primary** THA is estimated **to grow by 174%** (572,000 THA) in USA
- The demand for hip **revision** procedures is projected **to double** by the year 2026

• THA revision projected to grow by 137% (in the USA through 2030)

EPIDEMIOLOGY

The projected number of **primary** total hip arthroplasty (THA) and total knee arthroplasty (TKA) procedures in the United States from 2005 to 2030.



Fig. 1

The projected number of primary total hip arthroplasty (THA) and total knee arthroplasty (TKA) procedures in the United States from 2005 to 2030.

Kurtz S, JBJS Am 2007

EPIDEMIOLOGY

The projected number of <u>revision</u> total hip arthroplasty (THA) and total knee arthroplasty (TKA) procedures in the United States from 2005 to 2030.



Fig. 2

The projected number of revision total hip arthroplasty (THA) and total knee arthroplasty (TKA) procedures in the United States from 2005 to 2030. Kurtz S, JBJS Am 2007



PROBLEMATIC



is a **complex orthopaedic procedure**

Two problems for the surgeon :



ASEPTIC LOOSENING (1,5-17%)

INSTABILITY (10-25%)



Problematic

 The management of acetabular bone loss in revision total hip arthroplasty can be challenging

 Severe acetabular bone loss and pelvic discontinuity (PD) present particular challenges in revision total hip arthroplasty (Hipfl 2017)

 In the presence of severe acetabular bone loss, the inherent stability of the acetabular component is compromised, resulting in persistent micromotion across the acetabulum and subsequent implant-loosening

Acetabular bone loss and pelvic discontinuity

Paprosky Classification



Procedures for acetabular revision with bone loss

ACETABULAR RECONSTRUCTION

Allograft (morcellized, structural, massive)

Metal Ring + Cemented socket

Trabecular Metal ™



• **REPLACEMENT**

Jumbo Cup (Mega Cup) diametre > 66mm $\mathcal{O}' / > 62 \mathcal{Q}$



DUAL MOBILITY (DM) SOCKET

• Pr Gilles Bousquet

(CHU Saint Etienne France 1975)

- 3 components / 3 joints :
- Acetabular socket (cementless / cemented)
- Poly liner (free in acetabular component)
- Metal Head (22,2mm)





Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

ARTHROPLASTY

The Journal of Arthroplasty

journal homepage: www.arthroplastyjournal.org



Dual-Mobility Constructs in Revision Total Hip Arthroplasties

Matthew P. Abdel, MD *

Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

In revision THAs, Dual-Mobility constructs offer lower rates of dislocations and re-revisions for dislocations in the midterm.

(Abdel 2018 The Journal of Arthroplasty)

Clin Orthop Relat Res (2010) 468:3248-3254 DOI 10.1007/s11999-010-1404-7

SYMPOSIUM: PAPERS PRESENTED AT THE 2009 CLOSED MEETING OF THE INTERNATIONAL HIP SOCIETY

The Use of a Cemented Dual Mobility Socket to Treat Recurrent Dislocation

Moussa Hamadouche MD, PhD, David J. Biau MD, Denis Huten MD, Thierry Musset MD, François Gaucher MD

The use of a **cemented dual mobility** socket has provided **restoration of hip stability in 96% of patients** presenting with recurrent dislocation, **with less mechanical complication** and **loosening rates than have been reported with constrained systems**.



MÉMOIRE ORIGINAL

Prévention des luxations par la double mobilité lors de reprises d'arthroplasties totales de hanche*

Postprothetic dislocation prevention in total hip revision surgery using a dual mobility design

```
R. Philippot<sup>a,*</sup>, P. Adam<sup>a</sup>, M. Reckhaus<sup>b</sup>, F. Delangle<sup>a</sup>, F.-X. Verdot<sup>a</sup>, G. Curvale<sup>b</sup>, F. Farizon<sup>a</sup>
```

^b Service de chirurgie orthopédique et traumatologique, hôpital de la Conception, 13385 Marseille cedex, France

Acceptation définitive le : 18 mai 2009

Dual mobility cups provided a dislocation rate of only **3.7% in revision THA**, **comparable** to the one reported with standard implants **for primary THA**.

Revision THA using a dual mobility cup confirms that this design provides **stability**, **at least up to medium term**.

With **7-year survivorship** of 96%±3.2, the dual mobility design appears to **provide better fixation than the constrained or tripolar cups often recommended in these indications.**

^a EA 4338, laboratoire de physiologie de l'exercice, département de chirurgie orthopédique, centre hospitalier et universitaire de Saint-Étienne, 42055 Saint-Étienne cedex 2, France

Revue de chirurgie orthopédique et traumatologique (2011) 97, 794-800



96 revisions, with massive bone loss Mean follow-up of 41 months (range, 1-101 months)

No intraprosthetic dislocations (IPD)

One revision for aseptic loosening and

The survival rate at 8 years was 95.6%

(95% CI, 93.3-97.7%) and 99.3% (95% CI,

98.9-99.6%) if the endpoint was aseptic

Ten dislocations (10.4%)

acetabular exchange.

another for septic loosening

MÉMOIRE ORIGINAL

Prothèse de révision avec reconstruction acétabulaire par armature métallique et cupule double mobilité cimentée[☆]

Revision total hip arthroplasty using a reconstruction cage device and a cemented dual mobility cups

```
L. Schneider<sup>a,*</sup>, R. Philippot<sup>a,b</sup>, B. Boyer<sup>a</sup>, F. Farizon<sup>a,b</sup>
```

^a Service d'orthopédie et traumatologie, hôpital Nord, CHU de Saint-Étienne, 42055 Saint-Étienne cedex 2, France ^b EA 4338, laboratoire de physiologie de l'exercice, CHU Saint-Étienne, 42055 Saint-Étienne cedex 2, France

Acceptation définitive le : 3 octobre 2011

NOVAE STICK SERF





SERF, Décines, FRANCE)

Cemented DM

Stainless Steel 316L

UHMWPE Poly liner

Nine Sizes (45-61mm)

PATIENTS & METHODS

PATIENTS & METHODS

- Retrospective study
- CHU Nord Saint Etienne (2002-2010)
- All patients for acetabular revision with cemented DM in acetabular metal ring
- 3 types of metal ring : Kerboull Plate, Burch Schneider, ARM [®] Serf

Patients

77 rPTH (74 patients) included (50 Womens, 24 Mens) 69,8 years (34; 88)

```
Mean follow-up 10,7 years (2,1; 16,2)
```

At last follow-up :

- **34 patients** (45,9 %) **died** (35 implants) with 105,9 months (26 ; 164) follow up, without surgery between revision and death.
- 40 patients (54 %) alive (42 implants), with 148,1 months (102 ; 195) follow up
- 1 patient was lost to follow up

Etiology of acetabular revision

- Acetabular isolated Loosening (41,5%),
- Bipolar Loosening (22%),
- Reimplantation after infection (12,9%),
- Recurrent dislocation (9%)



Acetabular Aseptic Bone Loss

PAPROSKY Classification:

- 27.3% IIIB
- 28,6% IIIA
- 23,4% IIC
- 15,6% IIB
- 5,2% IIA



Number of previous surgery : 2,15 (1:6)

Acetabular Metal Ring, Allograft and socket

Dual Mobility socket **NOVAE[®] STICK** (Serf, Décines, France), **cemented** (Palacos Genta) on **3** types of **acetabular metal ring**, according to acetabular bone loss.

- 59 Kerboull Plate (SERF, Décines, FRANCE) : Paprosky IIC-IIIA
- 5 Burch Schneider (ZIMMER BIOMET, Warsaw, USA): Paprosky IIIA-IIIB
- 13 ARM[®] (SERF, Décines, FRANCE) : Paprosky IIIA-IIIB





Allograft Morcelized with bone bank in 98,7% cases (76 revisions)

Metal-polyethylene (metal/PE)

Polyethylene liner UHMWPE with metal head **22,2mm** in 42 cases (54,5%) or **28mm** in 35 cases (45.4%).



Surgical Procedure

• Postero lateral aproach for all patients

Acetabular metal ring choice according to pre operative CT Scan, and final

choice by acetabular bone loss during the surgery

Clinical and Radiological Evaluation

Clinical Evaluation

- 45 Days after surgery, 3 months, 6 months, 1 year, and 2 or 3 years
- Functionnal Hip Score (pré op, 1 year, and every 2-3 years)

Score Postel Merle d'Aubigné (PMA), Harris Hip Score (HHS), Charnley Score

Radiological Evaluation

- Standard Xray Pelvis and Hip

RESULTS

Clinical outcome

Pre operative **PMA Score :** 8,15 +/- 2,59 (7,56 : 8,74) IC 95% / Last follow up : 15,38 +/- 2,2 (14,89 : 15,88) IC 95%

Improvement of 7,23 +/- 3,21 (p < 0,05)

Pre operative Harris Hip Score (HHS) : 39,53 +/- 9,62 (36,77 : 42,29) IC 95%, Last follow up : 71,33 +/- 14,04 (67,30 : 75,37) IC 95% Improvement of 31,79 +/-17,92 (p < 0,05).

Clinical outcome



Pre operative and last follow up PMA : and HHS score

Complications

Sixteen patients (16 patients/17 implants) with complications (19 cases of compilations) : 21,62%.

Fourteen Womens and Two Mens, 76 yo (52; 92)

- Twelve complications cases need surgical procedure (15,6%) at 76,1 months (0,5 : 173)
 - Six cases (7,8%) needs changement of acetabular implant (detail on next slide)
 - Six cases without changement of implants (detail on next slide)
- Seven complications cases without surgical procedure
 - 4 closed reductions after dislocation
 - 2 ruptures of materials (1 rupture of screws, and 1 rupture of hook kerboull plate)
 - 1 fracture with nonunion of greater trochanter



Complications

Six cases (7,8%) needs changement of acetabular implant :

- 3 aseptic loosening
- 1 septic loosening
- 1 for impingement with sciatic nerve with ARM (massive)
- 1 for late sepsis

Six cases without changements of implants :

- 3 open reductions for early dislocations
- 1 early sepsis (changement of head and liner)
- 1 surgery for non union of greater trochanter
- 1 evacuation of hematoma

Acetabular Aseptic Loosening

3 / 77 acetabular aseptic loosening : 3,89%

(Kerboull Plate at 7, 10 et 12 years) at 9,6 years (7 : 12)

Three Womens, 66 yo (64 ; 69), BMI 24,5 (22,4 ; 26,1), with 2 previous surgery (1 ; 3).

No acetabular loosening on Burch Schneider and ARM.

1 septic loosening of ARM : 1,3% (ARM at 11 years).

Acetabular Aseptic Loosening

Acetabular aseptic loosening according to bone loss

Paprosky Classification :

Acetabular aseptic loosening on PAPROSKY : IIB (8,3%)

IIIA (4,5%)

IIIB (4,8%).

Dislocation

7/74 patients : Dislocation rate 9,45%

Early Dislocation/ Late (respectively before and after 3 months post op) Single Dislocation / Recurrent Dislocation (< 2 dislocations) Chronic Instability (> 2 dislocations)

Early Dislocation occurs at 37 days (18:54)

Late Dislocation occurs at 338 days (158 : 518)

Patients characteristics : Womens +++, 68,3 yo (53 ; 87), BMI 25,7 (19,6 ; 38,3), with 3 previous hip surgery (1 ; 6)



3/7 patients Single Early Dislocation (3,89%) (at 37,6 days) (18 : 40)post op, Open reduction (2/3 cases)

No dislocation after reduction, with 100,6 months follow up (53 : 140)

1/7 patient Single Late Dislocation at 158 days (5months) post op, closed reduction

2/7 patients **Two Early Dislocations** at 79 days post op (51 : 107) (One open and one closed reduction). No dislocation after reduction, with 182,5 months follow up (180 : 185)

1/7 patient with **<u>chronic instability</u> (6 episodes, closed reduction for all)**

X rays of 7 patients with dislocation



Dislocation rate according to **bone** loss (Paprosky):

- No dislocation on IIA, IIB, et IIC
- 1 dislocation IIIA (4,5% of IIIA)
- 6 dislocations IIIB (28,6% of IIIB)

All patients with destruction (n=4) or nonunion (n=2) of greater trochanter have dislocated.

Only one patient with destruction of greater trochanter present chronic instability (16,7% of patients with dysfunction of abduction system).

Dislocation rate according to acetabular metal ring type :

Kerboull Plate : 1,69%

Burch Schneider : 20%

ARM : 38,5%

Dislocation rate according to etiology of acetabular revision :

Post septic reimplantation: 30%

Revision for chronic instability : 14,3%

Revision for aseptic bipolar loosening : 5,9%
Dislocation rate according to number of previous hip revision

3 previous hip surgery (1; 6) before revision

Open Reduction / **Closed** Reduction according to **Early / Late dislocation**

Open Reduction :

60% of patients with early single dislocation

50% of patients with two early dislocaton

0% of late dislocation

<u>Closed</u> Reduction :

Late dislocation and chronic instability

Survival Rate

At 10 years, survival rate, with explantation for <u>acetabular loosening</u>: 96,1%.

At 10 years, survival rate, with acetabular explantation for <u>all etiology</u>: 93,5%.



Radiological Analysis

Partial stable peri-acétabular radiolucency , in zone II in 4 patients

Tilt of acetabular component was in post op : **47,16 +/-6,24 (31,9 : 64,2)**, at last FU : **47,36 +/-6,50** (33,38 : 64,32).

No patients with surgical revision for instability due to wrong positionning of acetabular implants

No patients with specific complication of DM : **No IPD (Intra Prosthetic Dislocation)** at last follow up.

Brooker calcification / Heterotopic ossification : 18 cases Brooker I, 4 Brooker II, 7 Brooker III.

Radiological Analysis

Allograft integration (Oswestry classification) : 1 stade 0 (1,3 %), 4 stade I (5,2 %), 2 stade II (2,5 %), 14 stade III (18,2 %), 23 stade IV (29,8 %) et 33 stade V (42,8 %).



DISCUSSION

Clinical and radiological outcome of retrospective study confirm our hypothesis :

Cemented DM in acetabular metal ring with allograft is a reliable solution, with low rate of revision for aseptic acetabular loosening or recurrent dislocation, with 10 years mean follow up.

Dislocations are **correlated** with :

- Acetabular bone loss (PAPROSKY III),
- Type of metal ring (ARM) +++
- Dysfunction of hip abductor muscles (nonunion or destruction of greater trochanter)

In comparison of other series of rTHA with DM :

Difficult, Heterogeneous series on this points :

- Different acetabular metal ring (Kerboull, Muller, Ganz, Burch Schneider...), different socket types
- Cemented / cementless DM
- Severity of bone loss Heterogeneous (low / massive with pelvic discontinuity)
- **Different classification** of acetabular bone loss (Paprosky, AAOS, SOFCOT)
- **Different etiology of revision** (loosening / instability)
- Single dislocation or chronic instability are not specified

Acetabular Loosening rate on other series of rTHA

rTHA with DM cup: 0% - 13,5%

Hamadouche & al : 3,9% at 8,2 years (51 patients) Wegrzyn & al : 1,5% at 7,5 years (61 patients) Lebeau & al : 6,4%, at 8 years (62 patients) Our results : **3,89% at** 9,6 years (7 : 12)

rTHA with Standard cup

<u>Kerboull Plate :</u> Kerboull & al : 5,6% at 8 years (53 patients) Makita & al : 6,2% at 11 years (65 patients) Our results with DM on Kerboull: **3,89%** at 9,6 years (7 : 12)

Burch Schneider :

Caroll & al : 6,3% at 8,75 years (60 patients) Our results with DM on Burch Schneider : **No** acetabular loosening

<u> ARM :</u>

No data available for ARM, but others massive acetabular metal ring with similar design cup : cage, triflange (Zimmer[®]) Hipfl & al : 17% at 5 years

Our results with DM on ARM : 1 septic loosening (1,3%), no aseptic loosening



Dislocation rate on other series of rTHA

rTHA with DM cup: 0% - 10,4%

Wegrzyn & al. : 0% at 89 months (61 patients) Schneider & al. : 10,4% at 41 months (96 patients) Viste & al. Chronic instability 2% at 7 years Our results : **9,45% / 1,29 Chronic instability**

rTHA with Standard cup

<u>Kerboull Plate :</u> Makita & al : 1,6 % at 11 years (65 patients) Assi & al. : 20% at at 6,18 years (29 patients) Our results with DM on Kerboull : 1,69% at 10,7 years

Burch Schneider :

Ilyas & al. : 9% at 11,5 years (37 patients)Udomkiat & al. : 23% at 4.6 years (62 patients)Our results with DM on Burch Schneider : 20% at 10,7 years

<u> ARM :</u>

No data available for ARM, but others massive acetabular metal ring with similar design cup cage, triflange (Zimmer[®]) Hipfl & al : chronic instability 6 % (cup cage and triflange) at 5 years (Meta analysis) Our results with DM on ARM : chronic instability 1,29% at 10,7 years



Rate of chronic instability according to destruction or nonunion of greater trochanter :

- Our results with DM cup : 16,7 %
- Taunton et al. with standard cup : 51%



Clin Orthop Relat Res (2012) 470:428–434 DOI 10.1007/s11999-011-2126-1 Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research®

SYMPOSIUM: PAPERS PRESENTED AT THE ANNUAL MEETINGS OF THE HIP SOCIETY

Pelvic Discontinuity Treated With Custom Triflange Component

A Reliable Option

Michael J. Taunton MD, Thomas K. Fehring MD, Paul Edwards MD, Thomas Bernasek MD, Ginger E. Holt MD, Michael J. Christie MD

Published online: 14 October 2011 © The Association of Bone and Joint Surgeons® 2011 Our dislocation rate, seems to be high in comparison of other DM series, but we included patients with massive bone loss (Paprosky IV, Pelvic discontinuity), destruction of greater trochanter, for acetabular complex revision.

No surgical revision for instability due to dysfunction or problem with DM, **No** intra prosthetic dislocation (IPD)

Only 1 patient with chronic instability (1,29%) at 10 Years, with dysfunction of hip abductor muscles (Fig A)



Limitation of our study :

- Retrospective study
- Low number of patients with Burch Schneider (n=5) and ARM (n=13)

Funding statement

No benefits in any form have been received or will be received from a commercial party related directly or indirectly to the subject of this article.

CONCLUSION

DUAL MOBILITY is a reliable solution for acetabular complex revision (PAPROSKY I-IIIB),

with low rate of acetabular loosening or chronic instability with mean follow up of 10 years.



Clinical cases of rTHA with DM

Case N°1





ARM[®](SERF) Radiological follow up (14 years)

Case N°2

















Radiological follow up at 14 years



Case N°3











Case N°4


























Complication : Early dislocation Reduction Immobilisation with Brace 6 weeks No recidive ok dislocation with 12 years follow up

















CHU BELLEVUE - SI ETIENNE- Pr BARRAL CENTRALE

DROIT DEBOUT

1.3N#0.6+0.43R7T1.5

e way and















Rupture of Kerboull plate Hook, without consequences on acetabular fixation




























Case N°11













Early Dislocation Open reduction, and brace for 6 weeks No dislocation with 10 years followup



24/10/2018 1 CHU ST ETIENNE - HOPITAL NORD - Pr Barral - Pr C :2047 \ Zoor

Case N°12





Case N°13







Message



DUAL MOBILITY is a reliable solution for acetabular revision,

with low or high acetabular bone loss (PAPROSKY IIIA B).

Cemented DM in acetabular metal ring is a solution for **complex** acetabular revision, with bone loss (Paprosky III)

Low rate of acetabular loosening (3,89% at 10 years)

Low rate of chronic instability (1,29% at 10 Years)

No cases of IPD with new generation of PE Liner (UHMWPE)



Thank you for attention







